

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/052,498	01/23/2002	Steven R. Patierno	23057-XY	6818	
75	90 08/20/2004	EXAMINER			
Gary M. Nath		WHITEMAN, BRIAN A			
NATH & ASSC 6th Floor	CIATES PLLC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1030 15th Street	t, N.W.	1635			
Washington, D	C 20005	DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		Application No	0.	Applicant(s)				
:	e Action Summary	10/052,498		PATIERNO ET AL.				
Office Action S		Examiner		Art Unit				
			an	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>74-202</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 74-202 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is ob	ected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11)☐ The oath or declaration	n is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note t	he attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is ma	ade of a claim for foreigr	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
application from * See the attached detail				ed				
See the attached detail	ed Office action for a lis	it of the certified	copies not receive	ou.				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC	i-892)	4)[Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Signature of Informal Patent Application (PTO 152)								
3) Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date	t(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	6)	_	/				

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 74-202 are pending.

The amendments to the specification and the cancellation of claims 1-73 and the addition of claims 74-202 in the paper filed on 1/23/02 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required and an election of species is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 74-152, drawn to several methods for treating cancer consisting of inhibiting tumorigenesis, inhibiting tumor cell growth; interfering with invasion of a local extracellular matrix; inhibiting tumor-induced angiogenesis; inhibiting or decreasing the activity of metalloproteinases required for degradation of an extra-cellular matrix; treating prostate cancer; preventing or inhibiting metastasis of a cancer; repairing a dysfunctional gene to prevent or inhibit metastasis of a cancer; comprising *in vivo* gene therapy using a polynucleotide which encodes a sequence corresponding to uteroglobin in a vector, classifiable in class 514, subclass 44.
- II. Claims 153-202, drawn to several methods for treating cancer consisting of: inhibiting tumorigenesis, inhibiting tumor cell growth; interfering with invasion of a local extracellular matrix; inhibiting tumor-induced angiogenesis; inhibiting or decreasing the activity of metalloproteinases required for degradation of an extra-cellular matrix; treating prostate

Art Unit: 1635

cancer; preventing or inhibiting metastasis of a cancer; repairing a dysfunctional gene to prevent or inhibit metastasis of a cancer; comprising *ex vivo* gene therapy using a polynucleotide which encodes a sequence corresponding to uteroglobin in a vector, classifiable in class 424, subclass 93.21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I and Invention II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions each of the inventions is directed to different goals and comprises materially distinct steps, wherein each of the compositions in each invention is structurally distinct and/or generates distinct mechanisms and functional effects as indicated above. The scope of each of the cited inventions encompasses an employed method, which generates distinct function(s) and effect(s), and furthermore does not necessarily overlap with that of another invention. Invention I is directed to *in vivo* gene therapy and invention II is directed to *ex vivo* gene therapy. The differences between Invention I and Invention II are further underscored by their divergent classification and independent search status.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group listed above is not required for any other Group listed above and the search for each group is not co-extensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1635

An election of species is also required by applicants because this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention of Group I or Group II: wherein the other treatment is selected from the group consisting of surgical intervention, radiation therapy, hormonal therapy, immunotherapy, chemotherapy, cryotherapy, and gene therapy.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 74, 83, 85, 95, 97, 105, 107, 116, 118, 126, 128, 134, 135, 143 in Group I and claims 153, 162, 164, 173, 175, 181, 183, 192 in Group II are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 1635

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

It would be unduly burdensome for the examiner to search and consider patentability of all of the presently pending claims, a restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Whiteman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0764. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 to 4:00 (Eastern Standard Time), with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader, SPE - Art Unit 1635, can be reached at (571) 272-0760.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in

Art Unit: 1635

Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Brian Whiteman 1635

SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sout D. (ruhe